

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 07/02/2001 Frank D. Hong UTSC:645US/SLH 2755 09/899,376 **EXAMINER** 02/27/2004 7590 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H **SUITE 2400** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 600 CONGRESS AVENUE AUSTIN, TX 78701 1642

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/899,376	HONG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher H Yaen	1642	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).</li> </ul>			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mail	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>16 December 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.			
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
	── For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ── will not be entered or b) ── will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7-15 and 86-88</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4-6 and 16-85.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. Other:	Jany Bricker GARY NICKOL		
	GARY NICKOL PRIMARY EXAMINER	Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642	

- -

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/899,376

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to include the limitation of "each comprising the amino acid sequence of comprising SEQ ID No: 1" is not supported by the specification as originally filed. Furthermore, the limitation raises new issues of which have not been previously considered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not deemed presuasive to overcome the 112, 1st paragraph rejection of written description or enablement because the applicant have not (1) demonstrated to one of skill in the art that they were in possession of variants or related peptides of HN-1 and (2) provided one of skill in the art with a disclosure of how variants or related peptides are made and used.